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## Logic Model of Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project – Drug Treatment Court

### Outcome Objective:
Develop and disseminate a Michigan specific guide for family drug treatment courts which includes a continuum from comprehensive approaches to no-cost and low-cost strategies, including a model protocol for implementation.

### Inputs

**Work Group is:**
- Mike Foley, Co-Chair, Mary Kronquist, Co-Chair
- Sara Spalding
- Karen Porter
- Jackie Wood
- Deborah Hollis
- Kathryn O'Grady
- Specialty Drug Court Staff
- Person (new)
- Bill Newhouse (?)

**Resource people:**
- Judge Dobrich
- Judge Harrison
- Judge Turkelson

### Activities

**What we do:**
1. Provide Family Drug Treatment Court training & ID existing family drug treatment court training.
2. Conduct site visit of operating family drug treatment court.
3. Identify key components & adapt existing materials for MI specific implementation for communities.
4. Provide facilitation services for community coalitions for planning process.
5. Conduct a need assessment with FIA, SCAO & ODCP on which communities have a higher “at risk” population to target.
6. Develop & disseminate information about MI resources to key stakeholders in family drug treatment court development.

### Outputs

**Who we reach:**
1. Interested county stakeholders from the courts, SA, & CW.
2. State team members.
3. CAs, AARs, Judges (courts), TX providers, Attorneys, County Commissioners, FIA, ODCP & SCAO.
4. Interested community coalitions
5. FIA, SCAO, ODCP, regional CAs & other interested stakeholders.
6. Interested community coalitions, Judges (courts), interested county stakeholders for the development of family drug treatment courts.

### Participation

**What we invest:**
- Work Group is:
- Mike Foley, Co-Chair, Mary Kronquist, Co-Chair
- Sara Spalding
- Karen Porter
- Jackie Wood
- Deborah Hollis
- Kathryn O'Grady
- Specialty Drug Court Staff
- Person (new)
- Bill Newhouse (?)

**Resource people:**
- Judge Dobrich
- Judge Harrison
- Judge Turkelson

### Short Term

**What the short term results are:**
1. Michigan communities express increased interest in collaboration between SA, CW, & Courts.
2. Have gathered both National Models & MI initiatives on family drug treatment courts.
3. To have modified national models & MI initiatives for MI specific SA/CW structures.
4. Have the guide reviewed by resource judges & family attorneys.
5. Have gathered the need assessment data & analyzed where to target TA.
6. Have developed state level expertise to provide local TA.
7. Developed a list of who to disseminate completed MI specific resources to.

### Medium Term

**What the medium term results are:**
1. Developed a list of who to disseminate completed MI specific resources to.
2. 1 to 2 jurisdictions begin planning process for implementation of family drug treatment courts.

### Long Term

**That the ultimate results are:**
1. Family drug treatment courts implemented in MI.
2. Cross systems structure is in place on a state level for TA to any jurisdiction requesting help in development of a family drug treatment court.

### Assumptions

1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

### Indicators

**Short term:** Materials gathered & draft of resource guide developed for review by judges & attorneys. Contacted SCAO, ODCP & MADCP for help in compiling the list for dissemination.

**Medium term:** Disseminate resource guide and 1 – 2 jurisdictions identified for TA.

**Long Term:** Cross-systems collaboration is established and the structure is in place on a state level to provide TA to any jurisdiction requesting support. Family Drug Treatment Courts are being established in MI.
**Outcome Objective:**
Develop and disseminate a communication protocol between substance abuse, child welfare, and judicial system partners that addresses families and children from levels 1 to 3, at different points on the continuum from substance abuse screening and assessment to treatment monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Participation</th>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Outcomes – Impact</th>
<th>Medium Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What we invest:</strong></td>
<td><strong>What we do:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Who we reach:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>What the short term results are:</strong></td>
<td><strong>What the medium term results are:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>That the ultimate results are:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Group: Joyce Washburn - DCH, Chair Cindy Maritato – Macomb FIA Cindy Pushman – Crawford/Otsego Co. FIA Marcie Scalera – Washtenaw CA Mary Kronquist – Mid South CA Mary Chaliman – FIA Foster Care Pat Webster – Consultant, Upper Peninsula Paul Cloutier - FIA, Director of Native American Affairs, ICWA Specialist</td>
<td>1. Develop MI-specific communication protocol between SA, CW, and judicial system.</td>
<td>1. Local communities in MI.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Protocol disseminated to SA, CW, &amp; court system stakeholders to facilitate improved communication through spring TA</td>
<td>1. Changes in communication implemented and communication issues, such as confidentiality, addressed at community level determined by _________________.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Improved collaboration between the 3 systems at the community level, measured by _____.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**
1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Indicators**

**Short term:**

**Medium term:**

**Long term:**
Logic Model of __Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project- Screening, assessment, engagement, retention

### Outcome Objective:
Develop and disseminate a Michigan specific protocol for screening, assessment, engagement, and retention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**What we invest:**
- Work Group:
  - Joyce Washburn - DCH, Chair
  - Cindy Maritalto – Macomb FIA
  - Cindy Pushman – Crawford/Otsego Co. FIA
  - Marcie Scalera – Washtenaw CA
  - Mary Kronquist – Mid South CA
  - Mary Chaliman – FIA
  - Foster Care
  - Pat Webster – Consultant, Upper Peninsula
  - Paul Cloutier.- FIA, Director of Native American Affairs, ICWA Specialist

**What we do:**
1. Participate in NCSACW SAFERR training.
2. Develop policies & procedures to implement.

**Who we reach:**
1. Public and private community substance abuse and child welfare providers

**Short Term**

**Outcomes – Impact**

**Medium Term**

**Long Term**

1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Assumptions**

**Indicators**

**Short term:**

**Medium term:**

**Long term:**
**Logic Model of Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project - Evaluation**

**Outcome Objective:** Develop and implement an evaluation plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Participation</th>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Outcomes – Impact</th>
<th>Medium Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What we invest:</strong></td>
<td>1. Create a baseline using the CCI to reflect cross-agency collaboration.</td>
<td><strong>Who we reach:</strong> 1. Stakeholders at state and county levels who are interested in the CW/SA collaborative project.</td>
<td>1. Results shared with agency directors and administrators to reflect progress or change.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Changes made at state administrative level to institutionalize improvements, and re-address areas that did not reflect positive change.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Improved services to children and families through local collaborations between CW/SA and courts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Group: Cindy August, MSW Intern, Chair</td>
<td>2. Conduct a follow-up CCI measurement to determine change in collaboration.</td>
<td>3. Identify data elements from the CW, SA, &amp; court system that can be measured pre- and post-project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary DeRose, FIA SACWIS Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Swan, Don Vita, Pat Webster, Mike Foley, Gary Anderson (or other University representative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**
1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Indicators**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short term:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium term:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Logic Model of Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project - Funding**

**Outcome Objective:**
Develop a plan to leverage and maximize funding and develop contracting mechanisms for implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Participation</th>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Medium Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What we invest:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Work Group:&lt;br&gt;Cindy Maritato, Chair&lt;br&gt;Patty Degnan, MH, DCH&lt;br&gt;Cindy August, FIA&lt;br&gt;Rebecca Mack, SCAO&lt;br&gt;John Evans, FIA&lt;br&gt;Mark Steinberg, Contract Manager, DCH&lt;br&gt;Jim Wotring, MH, DCH&lt;br&gt;(Sid Gardner from NCSACW available)</td>
<td><strong>What we do:</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Map fiscal resources (state and county level), in SA, FIA and DCH which impact CW/SA services and collaborative efforts.</td>
<td><strong>Who we reach:</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Fiscal analysts from the agencies.&lt;br&gt;2. State Team&lt;br&gt;3. Task Force</td>
<td><strong>What the short term results are:</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Resource map which shows MI effort in this area and reflects gaps.</td>
<td><strong>What the medium term results are:</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Plan developed to leverage resources and obtain new funding.</td>
<td><strong>That the ultimate results are:</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. Additional resources garnered for services to families with substance abuse issues in the CW system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**
1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.<br>2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Indicators**
- **Short term:**
- **Medium term:**
- **Long Term:**
**Logic Model of Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project – Marketing**

**Outcome Objective:**
Obtain endorsement from Department Directors and Courts and must market to and obtain buy-in from County stakeholders, Coordinating Agencies and providers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs Activities Participation</th>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Outcomes – Impact</th>
<th>Medium Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What we invest:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Group: Sarah Swan – Policy Analyst FIA, Joyce Washburn – Treatment Specialist, DCH, Mike Foley, Exec. Dir., Children’s Charter Carolyn Rose, Management Analyst, SCAO</td>
<td>What we do: 1. Develop written project overview &amp; statement of support for directors’ signatures. 2. Create marketing materials (brochure, PowerPoint presentation, ____) 3. Develop list of County stakeholders, CAs, providers &amp; others.</td>
<td>What the short term results are: 1. Directors endorse and support collaborative project 2. Increased awareness of need for CW/SA collaboration at the local level.</td>
<td>What the medium term results are: 1. Directors maintain or enhance commitment of resources 2. Support for and participation in CW/SA collaborations at the local level.</td>
<td>That the ultimate results are: 1. Collaborative project receives leadership from the 3 agencies, and is viable and active.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Who we reach:**
1. Directors of FIA, SCAO, DCH 2. Key stakeholders at state and local levels

**Assumptions**
1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Indicators**
Short term: Signed endorsement from Directors
Medium term:
Long Term:
Logic Model of Child Welfare/Substance Abuse Collaborative project – Training & TA

**Outcome Objective:**
Provide training and technical assistance to enhance collaboration among child welfare agencies, substance abuse treatment and prevention agencies, the judicial system, and other stakeholders at the community level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs Activities Participation</th>
<th>What we do:</th>
<th>What we reach:</th>
<th>What the short term results are:</th>
<th>What the medium term results are:</th>
<th>That the ultimate results are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Conduct statewide TA days 2X year.</td>
<td>1. Workers in the CW, SA, and Judicial systems</td>
<td>1. Increased cross-system knowledge re: CW, SA, and courts. 2. Additional communities understand principles of collaboration</td>
<td>1. Increased number of Michigan communities engage in a collaborative process between CW, SA, and courts</td>
<td>1. Communities with CW/SA collaboratives provide more effective services to children and families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Conduct community forums 4x year to facilitate local collaboration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**
1. Substance use by families involved in the child welfare system is a serious issue in Michigan.
2. Vulnerable children and families will be better served through collaboration between the Courts, the Family Independence Agency, the Office of Drug Control Policy and substance abuse providers.

**Indicators**

**Short term:**

**Medium term:**

**Long Term:**