

House File 2310 Legislative Report
December 15, 2009

State of Iowa
Department of Human Services
&
Iowa Department of Public Health

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	3
II.	Background	3
III.	House File 2310 Legislation	4
IV.	HF2310 Work-group	5
	Process and Member	5
V.	Data Collection and Analysis	6
	Iowa Department of Human Services.....	6
	Iowa Department of Public Health	7
VI.	Iowa Law Review.....	7
VII.	Protocol.....	8
	Protocol Development.....	8
	National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare	
	Framework	10
VIII.	Pilot Project	13
	Joint Training	14
	Screening and Assessment	14
	Joint Service Collaboration	15
	Services to Children	15
IX.	Protocol Review	15
	Survey and Focus Groups	16
	HF2310 Survey Findings.....	16
X.	Recommendations	17
XI.	Conclusion	18
IX.	Appendix	18

I. Introduction

Research and practical experience repeatedly show a high correlation between parental substance use disorders and child maltreatment and that many, if not most, children under the jurisdiction of child welfare agencies and the courts come from families with substance use disorders. National data reveals that up to 80% of adults associated with a child welfare case have a substance abuse problem that contributes to the abuse or neglect of the children.¹ In Iowa, 70-80% of open child welfare cases are related to substance abuse and 22% of clients receiving substance abuse services report a Department of Human Service (DHS) child welfare connection.

While substance use disorders are not the sole determinants of risk to children, many Iowa families involved with the child welfare agency have a substance abuse and related mental health problem. This correlation has implications for families, child welfare professionals, substance abuse treatment providers, and the judicial system as it requires initial and ongoing screening and assessment to identify possible substance use disorders. Indeed, best practice demands that all those involved with a child welfare-involved family work with the assumption that those disorders are likely to exist (i.e., best practice should be to “rule out” substance use disorders). Once identified, assessment of child safety and risk of child maltreatment within families receiving substance abuse services should occur on an ongoing basis.

II. Background

In Iowa, several statewide initiatives have begun to promote agency collaboration for families and children who are experiencing substance use disorders. In 2007 a statewide group of agencies and organizations involved in child welfare met and developed the Iowa Perinatal Illicit Drug Screening and Intervention Protocol that included a screening tool. This initiative was led by the University of Iowa Child Protection Program. Other professional organizations and/or groups involved included the Iowa Drug Endangered Children Alliance, Iowa Child Protection Council, the Iowa Perinatal Care Program, and the Iowa Departments of Human Services, Public Health, Child Protection Centers, and Iowa’s Hospital Association. The protocol and the screening tool were approved by the Iowa Perinatal Care Program Advisory Board to be included in the Iowa Perinatal Care Guidelines. Since 2008, Iowa Perinatal Care Program staff has been disseminating this protocol and tool to birthing hospitals across Iowa that has led to a more consistent approach regarding perinatal illicit drug screening in Iowa hospitals. The 8th edition of the Guidelines for Perinatal Services is located at the following link: http://www.idph.state.ia.us/hpcdp/common/pdf/8th_edition_guidelines.pdf.

¹ *Navigating the Pathways: Lessons and Promising Practices in Linking Alcohol and Drug Services With Child Welfare*. Nancy K. Young, Ph.D., Sidney L. Gardner, M.P.A. Prepared by Children and Family Futures, Inc. For the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration, Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, Technical Assistance Publication Series 27.

Another initiative began in November of 2007, when the Iowa Judicial Branch, the Iowa Department of Public Health and the Iowa Department of Human Services established a partnership to address the needs of families and children who are at the intersection of the chemical dependency, juvenile court and the child welfare systems. The Department of Public Health and the Department of Human Services recognized that child maltreatment is frequently associated with parental/caregiver substance use disorders and that no single agency has the resources or expertise to comprehensively respond to the needs of the parent/caregiver, the child or the family as a whole. A significant number of individuals and families in Iowa who are involved in the child welfare system and juvenile court and who have substance use disorders are being mutually, and often simultaneously, served by the two departments. The Departments and the Court acknowledge that procedures to provide integrated court oversight, substance abuse treatment, and child welfare services must be developed in order to address the complex needs of families who are involved in all three systems. The Departments and the Court also recognize that professionals and caregivers at both the state and community level need to develop a common knowledge base and shared values about child welfare, the juvenile court system and substance use disorders.

With the support of the National Center for Substance Abuse and Child Welfare (NCSACW) through its In-Depth Technical Assistance (IDTA) initiative and a wide array of statewide stakeholders, a partnership was formed to enhance the capacity of the three systems so that services, cross-systems partnerships and practices can be improved; ultimately leading to better outcomes for children and families. The initiative seeks to provide guidelines and best practices to assist state agencies, service providers and court officials working with adults and children at the intersection of the three systems. It is intended that the guidelines which result from the IDTA Initiative are adapted by local jurisdictions and will be recommended for use in all future initiatives and funding proposals to achieve better outcomes for children and families. There are four work-groups comprising the Iowa IDTA Initiative. The scope of work assigned to each group is interrelated and has required regular coordination and communication.

The work-groups are:

1. Family Support Work-group
2. House File 2310 Work-group (specifically established in response to HF 2310 legislation)
3. Drug Testing Guidelines Work-group
4. Multi-System Shared Values and Guiding Principles Work-group

III. House File 2310 Legislation

In 2008, the Iowa State Legislature passed House File Bill 2310. The purpose of the study is to identify effective means of reducing the incidence and impact of child abuse, including denial of critical care and interventions with families by the child welfare system that is wholly or partially caused by substance misuse, abuse, or dependency by a child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care. The requirements under House File are to:

- Gather data identifying the prevalence of the presence of children in the household among adults receiving substance abuse evaluations using initial data collected at least three months of the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008.
- Report whether or not substance abuse was a factor in the finding of abuse and report the prevalence of the finding using non-identifying information using initial data collected at least three months of the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008.
- Develop and implement a protocol in, or before July 1, 2009, to jointly address those child abuse cases that are wholly or partially caused by substance use disorders by the child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care.
- Identify potential changes in Iowa's law that could encourage a child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care to secure voluntary treatment for substance misuse, abuse, or dependency.
- Submit an initial report on or before December 15, 2009 to the Governor and the Standing Committees on Human Resources of the Senate and House of Representatives concerning the initial data collected, preliminary recommendations, and the status of the protocol implementation. A second report is due on or before December 15, 2010 regarding the data collect for a twelve month period.

IV. HF 2310 Work-group

Process and Members

In September 2008, the Director's of the Iowa Department of Public Health (IDPH) and the Iowa Department of Human Services (DHS) established a committee to respond to the HF2310. Recognizing the need for an integrated system, DHS, IDPH, and the Supreme Court of Iowa, Children's Justice Initiative (CJI) agreed to work collaboratively as a part of the IDTA Initiative to develop a coordinated response to House File 2310.

Under this directive, a core team was identified comprised of representatives from DHS, IDPH and judicial. The core team established a work-group committee consisting of representatives from DHS, IDPH, CJI, substance abuse treatment and prevention providers, consumers, the Iowa Behavioral Health Association, Magellan Behavioral Care, Prevent Child Abuse Iowa, physician representatives from the University of Iowa and Iowa Health System, a NCSACW consultant, and local attorneys.

The initial meeting date of HF 2310 Work-group was December 9th, 2008. The group subsequently met again on four more occasions; January 23rd, February 12th, March 24th and November 24, 2009 to review data, draft protocols and discuss Iowa law related to the HF 2310 product outcomes and deliverables. The following outcomes have been met:

- HF 2310 work-group has adopted the mission, vision and guiding principles developed by the IDTA Initiative that works to improve policy and practices that lead to improved outcomes for children and families.
- HF 2310 work-group has researched other state protocols for jointly addressing cases.

- DHS and IDPH have pulled data (*from July 1 – September 30, 2008*) related to HF2310, and have shared and discussed these findings with the core-team. The departments continue individually to collect and report data throughout fiscal year 2010 and will identify and share this data among departments.
- HF 2310 work-group has identified an attorney to review potential changes in Iowa law that could encourage a parent or caregiver to voluntarily seek substance abuse treatment.
- Products developed by IDPH and DHS and that are currently under practice review include:
 - A Substance Abuse Disorder Evaluation form that is sent to substance abuse providers that outlines required information to assist substance abuse providers in their assessment and collaboration with DHS and the Courts.
 - A Multi-Party Release of Information form that is intended to increase collaboration, decrease duplication, and increase engagement among DHS, IDPH, and drug courts for families entering the shared systems.
 - IDPH and DHS has developed and presented an initial cross-system training curricula.
 - Physician’s Screening form has been developed for children in out-of-home settings in which DHS caseworkers coordinate with physicians to screen children for substance use.

V. Data Collection and Analysis

House File 2310 Legislation

House File 2310 Legislation mandates that the departments of public health and human services shall conduct a study involving the collection of information regarding the relationship between substance misuse, abuse, or dependency by a child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care and child abuse. The data, activity, and information addressed by the study shall include but is not limited to all of the following: The department of human services shall include in the written assessment made for a child abuse report a determination as to whether or not substance abuse by the child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care was a factor in the report and finding of abuse. The department shall provide non-identifying information concerning the prevalence of the determinations in child abuse assessments. The initial data collected shall cover at least three months of the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008.

The Department of Human Services and Iowa Department of Public Health have gathered the data related to HF2310, from July 1 – September 30, 2008 and have shared and discussed these findings with the core-team. The departments will continue to individually collect and report data throughout fiscal year 2010 and will identify and share this data among departments on a consistent, continual basis. Following is the method and summary of findings to date regarding the data outcome results as collected by each department.

Iowa Department of Human Services

In conducting the data study the department determined that risk assessment scores would serve to reflect a correlation as to whether or not substance abuse by the child's parent, guardian, custodian, or other person responsible for the child's care was a factor in the report and finding of abuse. A stratified random sample was selected consisting of thirty (30) confirmed/founded CPS assessments from each of the eight (8) service areas from July-September 2008. DHS QA staff reviewed each sample assessment

and determined whether there was agreement between the data collected on the safety and risk assessment tools and the written information related to the finding contained within the CPS assessment. A standard data collection form was used to record information for each case in the sample. A total of 240 cases were reviewed and all percentages were based on the relationship to the total. Cases were weighted based on the population of the Service Area in which the incident occurred. The design margin of error for all figures reported in this study is $\pm 5\%$.

In conducting this study, Risk Assessment scores were used to generate valid data regarding substance abuse as a factor in confirmed and founded protective assessments. It was found that in 30.1% of the total cases reviewed there was a relationship between the primary and/or secondary caregiver's substance abuse issue and the child protective assessment finding.

(The complete report entitled DHS Data Summary Report is located in the Appendix)

Iowa Department of Public Health

The Iowa Department of Public Health (IDPH) uses a web-based client data system entitled Iowa Service Management and Reporting Tool (I-SMART) that allows substance abuse treatment providers to enter client service data and supports IDPH in monitoring service trends and service system needs. I-SMART gathers TEDS (Treatment Encounter Data Set) information as required by the federal substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant and includes the NOMs (National Outcome Measures) measures. Treatment providers enter demographic and clinical information into I-SMART. The system can also be used as an electronic clinical health record.

In regard to the HF 2310 study data collection I-SMART includes a question that asks "Are there children 17 years of age or younger living in the household". Reported data for clients receiving substance abuse services between July 1 and September 30th, 2008 is as follows: total number of clients assessed was 9,705; of this number 21.9% had children 17 or younger living in their household;

(The complete data summary entitled "House File 2310 Substance Abuse Data Summary-January 16, 2009", Iowa Department of Public Health" is located in the Appendix)

VI. Iowa Law Review

HF 2310 work-group identified attorney, Christine O'Connell Corken, Adjunct Professor, Criminal Law, Loras College, Dubuque, IA, to review potential changes in Iowa law that could encourage a parent or caregiver to voluntarily seek substance abuse treatment. Ms. Corken has extensive professional experience in issues related to families and children and currently serves as the Co-Chair of the Iowa Drug Endangered Children Alliance.

Current Iowa Law

Current Iowa State states: Iowa Statute: Iowa Code 232.68: 1) Child is defined as any person under the age of eighteen years, 2) Section f: an illegal drug is present in a child body as a direct and foreseeable consequence of the acts or omissions of the person responsible for the care of the child.

Under Iowa Law, the definition of child starts at birth, therefore, a positive test result obtained prior to the birth of a child shall not be used for criminal prosecution nor can it be used as a referral for child abuse allegations. Once a child is born, if a health practitioner discovers that the child has been born with evidence of exposure to cocaine, heroin, amphetamine, methamphetamine or other illegal drugs, which are not prescribed by the health practitioner, the practitioner is required to report any positive results to the Department of Human Services. The department will begin an assessment pursuant to Iowa law. If the presence of an illegal drug is found in a child's body as a direct and foreseeable consequence of someone's acts or omissions, the department must make a determination of "founded" child abuse, which automatically triggers placement for the parent on the child abuse registry. All states have laws defining by law what constitutes child abuse. There is no federal law or standard that applies throughout the country. Individual states generally fall into three categories for enactment of these laws.

- 1) Some states allow for criminal prosecution upon positive findings of illegal substances found within a child either before or after birth. Iowa law does not allow for that.
- 2) Some states mandate assessment by the Department of Human Services if the child tests positive at birth for the presence of illegal drugs. **Iowa falls within this category which is considered to be the model for future policy.**
- 3) Some states, a very small number, have enacted criminal statutes that provide for the prosecution of a parent for exposing the child to an illegal drug as evidenced by medically pertinent testing. However, if the parent complies with treatment, the criminal charge would be dismissed.

After extensive review of Iowa statutes, the committee recommends exploring current law to determine if alternatives are available for caregivers who successfully complete treatment services. If a child is born positive for illegal drugs an assessment will occur. Once the assessment is completed and it is determined that the child has been exposed in utero to illegal drugs, current law requires automatic placement on the registry. Options might include placing the parent on a "confirmed" status rather than placing them automatically on the registry if they agree and successfully complete substance abuse treatment. This would provide an incentive for parents, whose children test positive at birth, to comply with the Department of Human Services in order to remain off the child abuse registry. This change would encourage parents to seek treatment, to establish a safe plan for their children, and to increase parental competency and self-sufficiency while protecting the children and holding the parents accountable for expectations of compliance with case plans set out by the Department without criminal intervention or placement on the child abuse registry.

VII. Protocol

Protocol Development

The co-occurrence of child maltreatment and substance use disorders demands immediate attention, and the highest standards of practice from the professionals who are responsible for assuring child

safety and promoting family well-being. There is urgency to improving staff capacity to screen, assess, engage, and retain substance abusing families who are involved in the child welfare and dependency court systems. The timelines in the Federal Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) that “speed up the clock” when children are to be removed from parental custody make collaboration amongst the three systems imperative. The shorter timelines place pressure on the child welfare workers to identify parental substance use disorders and to make decisions regarding the effects on the child well-being, the likelihood that parents can recover, and the level of stability of the family. Shorter timelines also place pressure on the dependency court judges to keep informed about parent’s participation in treatment and the status of their recovery.

In the child welfare, substance abuse, and court triads, collaboration flows from the recognition that the agencies cannot achieve their outcomes (*safe children in stable homes with adults who are functioning well*) without the resources, expertise, and cooperation of the others. The literature on collaboration among the child welfare and substance abuse treatment systems highlights five major categories of barriers between the two systems that must be addressed before joint outcomes can be achieved (DDS, 199; Young, Gardner, & Dennis, 1998);

1. Different definitions of who within the family is the client-the child or the parent-which results in different attitudes toward clients with alcohol and other drug (AOD)-related problems;
2. Different training and education in recognizing and responding to AOD problems;
3. Attitudes toward the other systems, founded in part on myths;
4. Different timing factors in working with clients; and,
5. Different funding streams and information systems mandated by those funding sources.

Recognition of these barriers and in response to the mandated legislation, House File 2310 Work-Group developed a protocol based on a set of principles, standards and behaviors to guide daily practice when working with families who are involved in the child welfare, substance abuse treatment and dependency court systems. The protocol is in alignment and based on the National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare’s (NCSACW) framework of ten key elements of system linkages that are fundamental to improving outcomes and the long-term well being for families with substance use disorders who are involved in the child welfare services and dependency court system.

National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare’s (NCSACW) Framework

Key Element #1- Underlying Values and Principles of Collaborative Relationships

Underlying values should be addressed in developing collaborations because the partners are very likely to come to the table with different perspectives and assumptions about their agency’s or the court’s values and mission and mandates. Unless these differences are addressed, the partners will be unable to reach agreement on issues.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- The protocol reflects the mission, vision and guiding principles of the IDTA Initiative² that was adopted by the HF2310 work-group. Upon refinement, the protocol will be shared and promoted, trained on, and implemented statewide.
- The protocol promotes shared multi-system collaboration and promising/best practices for family-centered care.

Key Element #2- Daily Practice and Protocols in Client Screening and Assessment

Screening for substance use should be addressed by the collaborative since it is within these first contacts with clients that agencies must begin the process of determining what type of substance abuse problem- if any- the parent(s) have and what type of service they may need. Legal advocates for parents play a pivotal role in the process by either encouraging or discouraging their client from seeking services and being forthright during the evaluation.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- As part of the protocol DHS will implement practice guidance around substance abuse screening to ensure that treatment, when needed, emerges as a priority issue. All DHS caseworkers will receive training in Brief Screening and will utilize either the CAGE or the UNCOPE screening tools to identify the presence of parental substance use disorders.
- Caseworkers will also administer the Risk Assessment tool to identify the level of risk any substance use disorder may have on the family's functioning.
- Through the protocol, IDPH will implement practice guidance for all treatment agencies and treatment professionals to better identify clients at assessment who have a child welfare case and/or who are involved in juvenile court.
- The protocol asks that treatment agencies modify and enhance its screening and assessment process and encourages treatment staff to make immediate contact with the DHS caseworker and/or the Court.
- During a substance abuse evaluation, substance abuse providers will determine if a client is involved with DHS or the child welfare system, utilize the Substance Abuse Reporting system (I-SMART), contact the DHS worker, sign a multi-party release, participate in treatment planning and make recommendations

Key Element #3- Daily Practice for Client Engagement and Retention in Care

The Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) and a child's developmental needs drive the need for keeping the parent(s) on track in meeting their parental responsibilities/goals while balancing the obstacles that generally confront substance dependent parents and their children. There are discrete roles and responsibilities that can be exercised by judicial officers to enhance parents' retention in treatment. Parent attorneys play a critical role in the messages that they give to clients about whether or not to engage in substance abuse treatment and/or other types of services. Treatment providers can ensure that they are utilizing client-centered, evidenced based practices such as the transtheoretical model of behavior change, motivational interviewing, and community reinforcement vouchers to engage and retain clients in services.

HF 2310 Protocol-

² A Statement of Multi-System Shared Values and Guiding Principles

- The protocol encourages practitioners from all three systems to participate in family team meetings, joint case planning services and/or telephonic collaboration between child welfare workers, substance abuse providers, courts, family members, and other stakeholders.

Key Element #4- Daily Practice in Services to Children of Substance Abusers

Services to children should be addressed by the multi-system collaborative. Providing services to parents alone ignores the effects that the substance abuse has had on the child(ren) and left unidentified and treated can lead to future generations of substance abusers. Substance abuse services provided to families in the child welfare system should be provided using a family systems approach. Advocates for children have a role in ensuring that the special needs of children of substance abusing parents and/or caretakers are addressed by utilizing prevention and intervention strategies.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- The protocol supports practice guidelines that strive to ensure the specific needs of children are met as it adopts best practice strategies and interventions that promote and reflects the intent of the HF 2310 legislation:
 - Encourages participation of all providers at Family Team Meetings (FTM).
 - Utilizes the DHS Risk Assessment and Risk Reassessment Tools to identify the need for a substance abuse assessment.
 - Screens cases for possible substance use disorders, refers any potential cases to substance abuse providers, and works collaboratively across agencies.
 - Utilizes Early Access to address developmental delays.
 - Drug Courts
 - CRAFT Tool was used for children to assess for any substance abuse issues.
- The protocol asks treatment agencies to identify the presence of children in their treatment caseload and the need for screening and assessment of those children (through direct service or referral) for the impact of their parents' substance abuse.

Key Element #5 Joint Accountability and Shared Outcomes

This element should be addressed by the collaborative because jointly developed outcomes are critical to demonstrate that the collaborative has achieved interagency agreement on desired results. Without such an agreement, each system/partner is likely to continue measuring its own progress just like it always has and without respect to the other systems.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- The protocol encourages the sharing of outcomes between agencies for children and family.
- The protocol promotes and endorses shared values and guiding principles across agencies.
- Common language and understanding across disciplines are supported within the protocol.
- Collaborative efforts are viewed as essential in the protocol to address the needs and services for children and families experiencing substance use disorders.

Key Element #6- Information Sharing and Data Systems

Shared data is a prerequisite for joint accountability. Joint information systems form the basis for communicating across systems and must be used to track the progress of the collaborative. Without effective communication and sharing of information across systems, the collaborative will be left without the guideposts to gauge its programs' effectiveness.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- A Multi-Party Release of Information form was developed to be initiated by whichever system has access to the client at the time it becomes apparent that the client is involved with both systems.
- Each department has captured initial data for July through September of 2008 and will utilize individual data systems in an ongoing basis to collaborate toward earlier identification of shared clients.
- Under the protocol substance abuse treatment agency staff will ask if there is DHS or child welfare involvement, if yes, then coordination of care with the clients, caseworker, court officer and other involved stakeholders will occur.
- DHS will send a Substance Use Disorder Evaluation Referral form with the Multi-Party Release to substance abuse treatment provider.
- The protocol supports collaboration between providers in order to keep each other updated on an ongoing basis regarding client progress, relapse planning and discharge planning.

Key Element #7- Budgeting and Program Sustainability

Tapping the full range of funding sources available to the state or a community through multiple strategies is imperative for the sustainability of services. Results drive the allocation of resources; therefore services should produce positive outcomes and improve the lives of children and families.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- Within the intent of the mission and vision of the protocol the Departments and the Court will strengthen relationships between stakeholders and promote and maximize the use of existing programs and resources including:
 - Drug Endangered Children
 - State Court Improvement Project
- The protocol promotes shared outcomes which require increased emphasis, including the on-going monitoring and reporting, of results-driven outcomes.

Key Element #8- Training and Staff Development

Cross-systems training across systems build respect and operational knowledge that fosters a seamless system of care for families and imparts practical guidance for dealing with differences of opinion without damaging the collaborative process. Decisions regarding child safety and optimum family health are best made by people who draw on the expertise of multiple perspectives. Cross training efforts that are

collaborative and are provided at all levels of policy, administrative, management and line-staff promotes improved outcomes for children and families.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- Training for the protocol requires a cross-systems training regimen that leverages the use of existing resources including department curriculum combined with an NCSACW On-Line Training that promotes a cross-system understanding of substance abuse, child welfare and the judicial system.
- The protocol ensures that all staff participates in the cross-systems training.
- The protocol encourages collaborative outreach and joint partnering and planning within local communities to identify resources, build relationships, and achieve shared outcomes.

Key Elements #9 and 10- Working with Other Agencies and the Community

Substance abusing families who are involved with child welfare and the dependency court require assistance from services other than treatment to address the multitude of complex issues impeding the functioning of a healthy family system. In particular, mental health, domestic violence, primary health, housing, and employment-related services are needed partners in the multi-systemic collaboration.

HF 2310 Protocol-

- The protocol actively promotes the development of strategic partnerships with community-based services and supports through:
 - Ensuring that relevant service providers are involved with Family Team Meetings.
 - Joint case planning between agencies
 - Ongoing, in person and/or telephonic, communication between agencies
 - Joint accountability through shared outcomes

VII. Pilot Project

DHS, IDPH, and the Supreme Court of Iowa, Children’s Justice Initiative (CJI) made a collaborative decision to pilot the HF2310 project in two counties. Wapello and Scott counties were chosen as pilot sites as each county has established collaborative, partnerships between DHS, substance abuse treatment providers, and family drug courts. The 90-day pilot projects were implemented on July 1, 2009.

Participants included Department of Human Services (DHS) caseworkers and substance abuse treatment providers within each of these counties. Following is a description of the substance abuse treatment providers in each of the pilots:

Wapello County

- First Resources- A private, non-profit human service corporation offering a full range of programs servicing people with disabilities, mental health services, children and families in need, and drug and alcohol counseling for adults .
- Family Recovery Center- An entity of Ottumwa Regional Medical center that provides treatment to adults, age 18 and older, who suffer from illness of addiction to alcohol and drugs. The addiction program is based on abstinence through the 12 steps of Alcoholics Anonymous.

- Southern Iowa Economic Development Association (SIEDA) - A community agency which provides substance abuse treatment and evaluation services.

Scott County

- Center for Alcohol and Drug Services (CADS) – A non-profit organization established to provide substance abuse prevention, assessment, treatment, and referral services for individuals, groups, and organizations in eastern Iowa and western Illinois, through a combination of private and public funds

Joint Training

- Joint training sessions were held at each of the pilot sites to introduce the protocol and to promote joint accountability and shared outcomes among the agencies. A cross-system, multi-disciplinary team approach is critical as each agency shares a role in achieving safety, permanency and well-being outcomes for children and families with substance use disorders.
- Participants at the pilot sites were also asked to take an online education course offered by the NCSACW to better understand their counterpart’s practices and approaches to substance use disorder in child welfare cases. On-line substance abuse training was offered for DHS workers while substance abuse treatment staff were asked to take the child welfare training.

Screening and Assessment

- Within the joint protocol, screening and assessment duties for both DHS staff and substance abuse providers are outlined. The proposed steps, tools and designated forms are intended to decrease the time providers make contact with DHS clients who were being referred:
 - In child abuse assessments and throughout the life of the case DHS caseworkers assess the caregivers for substance abuse using either the **CAGE or UNCOPE** screening tools. These tools are not diagnostic but provide a baseline of information regarding a possible substance use disorder.
 - In addition to the CAGE or UNCOPE tool, DHS case workers administer the **Family Risk Assessment tool**. Risk assessment focuses on the probability of future maltreatment and in substance abuse cases highlights the effects of substance use disorders in relation to child maltreatment and informs decisions regarding services or need for removal of the child.
 - When a substance abuse disorder is identified by DHS, the caregiver is asked to sign a **Multi-Party Release of Information form** and is referred to a substance abuse treatment program for evaluation. The A Multi-Party Release of Information form is used to facilitate communication across both systems and with any other involved parties such as Family Drug Court, family support programs and mental health services. The Multi-Party Release of Information is completed and initiated by either party based on who first identified that the client was involved with both the child welfare and substance abuse treatment systems.
 - Physicians are asked to complete a **Physician Screening form** indicating when a child should be referred for further evaluation.

Joint Service Collaboration

- At the time of the referral the caseworker completes a **Substance Abuse Disorder Evaluation Referral** form providing the substance abuse treatment worker with information regarding the purpose of the referral.
- During a substance treatment evaluation, treatment staff identifies any involvement the client may have with DHS and/or court services. Clients are asked the age of the children involved, the referral source, and the type, if any, DHS or court involvement. If DHS is involved, clients are asked to provide caseworker contact information upon which treatment staff contact the caseworker to initiate care coordination.
- To create a cross-system multi-disciplinary team approach, DHS caseworkers and substance abuse treatment staff must engage in **joint service collaboration**. Strategies and services within the **DHS Family Case Plans** and the **Substance Abuse Treatment and Relapse Prevention Plans** reflect and support each other. Barriers to the family's success are identified and resolved with respect to the timetables that each system must operate within.
- Additional collaborative responsibilities between DHS caseworkers and substance abuse treatment staff include participation in Family Team Meetings, ongoing joint case planning, and telephonic case coordination on a consistent basis.

Services to Children

- DHS assesses any child substance abuse concerns using the **CRAFT**, a screening tool which is specific to children. Children who scored positive on this tool are referred to a substance abuse treatment program for evaluation.
- DHS caseworkers refer children under the age of three who have been a victim of abuse or have an assessed need to Early Access for developmental screening.
- For children in out-of-home settings, DHS caseworkers coordinate with physicians to screen children for substance use. Physicians are asked to complete a **Physician Screening Form** indicating when a child should be referred for further evaluation. This screening process is viewed as a part of the foster care physical required of children who are in out-of-home care settings.

VIII. Protocol Review

Data was collected by the four substance abuse treatment providers during the pilot project. Data was collected in regard to:

- Children 17 or younger living in the household,
- The number of children who spent last 6 months living with client,
- The number of children living somewhere else to protective order
- Attendance at family team meetings and involvement with DHS child welfare

Analysis of 57 data outcome forms indicated that substance abuse providers were: (1) In 100% of cases, better able to identify children involved with DHS child welfare, (2) In 100% of cases, better able to ask the client for caseworker contact information (3) In 98% of cases, obtained a multi-party release, (4) In 95% of cases, better able to contact DHS workers to initiate care coordination (5) and in .05% of cases, able to attend family team meetings.

Survey and Focus Groups

At the conclusion of the pilot project a survey was administered to the DHS caseworkers and substance abuse treatment providers who participated in the pilot project. The purpose of the survey was to understand the experiences of the participants and to assist DHS and IDPH in identifying the strengths of the protocol as well as any concerns or issues related to joint service planning as well as the timing of the evaluation and services to families experiencing substance use disorders.

Questions on the survey covered the preferences, usefulness and effectiveness of the proposed screening tools. Participants were asked if the forms proved helpful and if they were instrumental in reducing barriers and facilitating communication and collaboration between providers and across systems. The survey also questioned if the training was found to be helpful and relevant and if the use of family team meetings and telephonic case coordination were effective in creating a cross-system multi-disciplinary approach to reach shared outcomes. Finally, the group was questioned if they felt that DHS referrals to substance abuse providers resulted in decreased time for providers to make contact with DHS clients.

HF 2310 Survey Findings:

- The CRAFT, CAGE and UNCOPE tools were useful in assessing both children and adults. The UNCOPE questions were a good way to start the conversation about substance use/abuse if the subject hadn't been previously addressed. Both tools provided more consistency and structure in deciding which families needed a substance abuse referral.
- Early Access referrals were made on cases they would have normally done so. The protocol did not influence their decision making process.
- Physicians that were asked to complete the physician screening question on children placed out of the home were cooperative in doing so and found it helpful. Workers recommended it become a regular part of the Foster Care physical for all cases.
- Time from point of DHS referral to having a client seen by a substance abuse treatment provider did not decrease. The universal release that was developed for the protocol was not seen as useful. Both agencies continued to complete their own releases anyway. Clients revoked releases upon seeing the substance abuse provider therefore not allowing any information to be shared. In one area the substance abuse treatment provider does not, by policy, contact the referral source until the evaluation has been completed. DHS and the substance abuse provider felt that communication could be enhanced. Substance abuse treatment providers have indicated they cannot prioritize DHS referrals over others. Both agencies felt a "check-in" point at the end of each month would have been helpful to identify barriers/issues sooner instead of waiting to address them at the end of the pilot.
- The substance abuse evaluation form had a mixture of findings. While it may contain good information from DHS that a client may not self-disclose, it was not consistently used. Further training on the evaluation could address this issue. Workers and providers felt a phone conversation about the client was more helpful. However, these did not occur consistently either.

- Both DHS and substance abuse treatment provider staff reported the on-line training sessions were very helpful. Workers learned more about the partner agencies goals, processes and procedures.
- Family Team Meetings were not attended at a higher rate by substance abuse treatment providers than without the protocol. Joint planning for cases with mutual clients was done on the phone or by electronic communication.
- Overall, the protocol was seen as helpful in identifying clients for referral for substance abuse evaluations. Being a part of a joint protocol decreased barriers between agencies and increased communication. Examples built into the protocol about how to partner within agencies was seen as helpful and improved outcomes for families.

In the child welfare, substance abuse, and court triads, collaboration flows from the recognition that the agencies cannot achieve their outcomes (*safe children in stable homes with adults who are functioning well*) without the resources, expertise, and cooperation of the others. The literature on collaboration among the child welfare and substance abuse treatment systems highlights five major categories of barriers between the two systems that must be addressed before joint outcomes can be achieved

In analyzing the findings, it was found that they are reflective of current literature regarding collaboration among the child welfare and the substance abuse treatment system. The major barriers around shared definitions, attitudes, differences in training and education, timing and funding, and information systems discussed previously in this report were found to exist during the pilot project. Based on the findings it appears that these areas will continue to be areas of concern and will need to be addressed further at both a statewide and a community level.

IX. Recommendations

- Implementation of a 2nd pilot project at two non-drug court sites based on lessons learned from recent the past pilot to better train, support, oversee, and evaluate the project.
- Exploration of current law to determine if alternatives are available for caregivers who successfully complete treatment services. Options might include placing the parent on a “confirmed” status rather than placing them automatically on the registry if they agree to services and successfully complete substance abuse treatment. This would provide an incentive for parents, whose children test positive at birth, to comply with the Department of Human Services in order to remain off the child abuse registry. This change would encourage parents to seek treatment, to establish a safe plan for their children, and to increase parental competency and self-sufficiency while protecting the children and holding the parents accountable for expectations of compliance with case plans set out by the Department without criminal intervention or placement on the child abuse registry.

X. Conclusion

Safety and permanency are the birthright of every child in Iowa. The vision is that children in the State of Iowa grow up in safe, nurturing, and permanent families, and when possible, within their birth family and when not, with another permanent family. As stated earlier no single agency or court has the authority, capacity or skills to respond to the array of challenges faced by these families, but collectively, well –informed professionals can bring capabilities and skills together to help address the problem. When leaders have a common vision, follow joint policies and engage collaborative front line practices, it creates a positive work environment and the expectation that the professionals involved will coordinate with colleagues from other systems in decisions that affect a family’s stability and recovery who are faced with a substance use disorder abuse.

Together, the departments and the court are committed to developing and implementing a statewide coordinated plan to work with families with substance use disorder in the child welfare and juvenile court systems. Since the completion of the pilot, and based upon review of the results, it is recommended that another pilot project be implemented in two counties where there are no drug courts. This will provide the larger knowledge base needed to analyze and share the data, study law options, and refine the approach to allow for better decision making in collectively responding to the complex needs of families that present with substance use disorder and maltreatment issues and to carry the initiative statewide. The added knowledge will impact policy decisions and ultimately the development and array of services that focus on prevention, treatment and support across the system of care to be offered to children and families who are at risk.

XI. Appendix

- DHS and IDPH Joint Protocol
- Substance Use Disorder Evaluation Referral Form
- Multi-Party Release; Substance Abuse Agency/ Child Welfare Consent Form
- IDPH letter to Licensed Substance Abuse Programs
- DHS Data Summary Report
- House File 2310 Substance Abuse Data Summary – January 16, 2009 (Iowa Department of Public Health)

